C.F. 71 & 72.

19 33

Department of Excise

OFFICE _____

STATION Madras

R No. 640 Awk

Dated 16 - 11 - 1933

Seen by C

whom sent		Date	Initial
	Given for copying	17/12/4	
	Copied		
	Examined		
	Signed		
	Given for despatch		
	Despatched	18/11	
	Indexed	T26	
Pages in the file	To be destroyed on*	18/11/49	

(SUBJECT.)

Shops (Akbar) - S. Kanara dt - locations certain instructions.

* Not to be filled in in the case of permanent records.

11 There is a general complaint that
oral shops are located in ill accessible places
both for consumers & the inspecting staff on account
of their away from habitations.
Site for shops have been selected in the past
very shortly in accordance with Standing Orders
to be located in Govt. purambokes & the sites
are notified in P.W.D. The area allotted
in Wandsfication to the S.I. who does not make
Survey & can't always get the Chairbearers
to verify the field.

In order to give some encouragement to
Govt. shops
sale on easy instalments should be within the reach
limits. Observing that proper care in
construction as a shop led to a particular
puramboke land ... to be a good provision for
anyone who intend hastens to run close to it
to necessarily of the a small shop the means are
in S.K. and wages for a full days work to
the renter who manage the shop & carries on some
cultivation as well ... I am in agreement with this
The notification of sites is too restricted in S.K.
& A.C. has been asked to fix the I.S. test. He
order regularly which is not available &
to report to the Collr. who should be allowed those
that are unsuitable. P.T. of the papers with

note

Note ~~(contd.)~~. Rya Co remarks on p above

The question of suitable location of shops had been a matter of difficulty in South Kanara and Malabar Districts. The subject came up for consideration by the Board in 1923 with special reference to the enforcement of the one-furlong rule. Apparently there was a tendency for most of the shops to be on the road or too close to ~~each~~. There are no 'villages' proper in Malabar and S.Kanara Dts., and the physical characteristics of the country are such that it is most difficult to find any practicable site for a liquor shop a furlong from the road that is not on private land and that is accessable by ~~the~~ a public path. The Board considered therefore that the one-furlong rule strictly interpreted ~~does~~ did not apply and could not be made to apply to these districts without violating the equally important rule which requires that the sites should be so far public that persons entering the shops do not escape observation ... not so prominent as to compel attention. The Collectors of these districts were therefore informed that the object of the rule is to prevent drink shops being thrust on way-farers and not to conceal them in in-accessable ~~poramboke~~ and they were instructed to work the rule with discretion.

There was subsequently a suggestion to apply the one-furlong rule to cart tracks also in S.Kanara Dt. This was vehemently opposed by us as impracticable and it was pointed to Govt., that if the rule was ~~strictly~~ strictly enforced

enforced and extended to cart-tracts most of the rural shops should perforce have to be located in low-lying paddy flats or on hill tops not easily accessible to the consuming public.

(6) (7) General instructions were issued in 1929 to all Collrs. to see that specific sites or definite limits for each shop not overlapping those of other shops were prescribed and published in the sale notices. This was with a view to preclude objections from renters of adjacent shops and possible civil suits from them. Please see the Collrs' sale notice for the toddy and arrack shops of S.Kanara Districts for the current lease. It may be observed therefrom that in most cases specific S.Nos. have been prescribed for the location of shops. No general complaint against this practice has been received in this office in recent years either from officers or renters etc. The duty of seeing that the sites selected by renters are within the notified limits rests primarily on the village munsiff. According to our instructions in C.P.No 44 P/26 the boundary lists put in by renters are sent to the village munsiffs in the first instance who have to certify that the sites are within the notified limits. The Excise officers, however, have to see during their inspection of shops whether the licensed sites are within the notified limits. This is presumably what the C. has in mind when he refers to S.I's difficulty

difficulty in identification. In other districts wider limits such as any unobjectionable place within the ~~notified~~ village are notified for rural shops generally if ~~the~~ Govt., poramboke lands suitable for locati~~g the shops~~ are not available. Whether there will be any special difficulty or objection to following that practice in South Kanara is not known. The best course seems to be to leave the matter of suitable location to be settled between the Collr & and local excise officers as suggested by the Commr.

For orders.

The shops that are now badly located may be more suitably located by selecting better sites . The notified limits may show the S.No. in addition to location with regard to some permanent land mark or marks so that the Excise officers may be able to find out by such description that it is alright. This then will obviate the necessity of referring to the village authorities. The shops that are now suitably located and the notified or licensed limits shown by S.Nos. may be amplified with a brief note on location with reference to some permanent land marks.

Co
4.4.33.
S.

Dwaft below for approb

Co
2/4/33

10.4.33.

10.4.33

C.P. 16—20,000—19-11-29.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

Enclosures.

Despatch No.

When given to fair copying

Fair-copied by

Fair-copy compared by

When despatched

Office.

Register No. dated

From

ubject.

Shops - (Alkaf) ~~and Ope~~

S. Kawawa db - locate

To certain instructions.

THE

Prors

The C has had occasion

to ~~wish that for~~ S. Kawawa db

there is a general Complaint

that resale shops are located

in all accessible places both

for Consumers and the respo-

-cting staff on tops of hills

away from habitations

~~a~~/ sites for shops have

been selected in the past

very strictly in Conformity with

Standing Orders to be located

in Govt boundaries and

no sites are notified by

S. Nos. This gives opportunity to

dentifications to the S.I we

does not understand Servic

and cannot always get the
Shambogas to identify the fields
— — D/ In other dis it is
more usual to notify sales of
rural shops as any ~~objection~~
unobjectionable site within the
village limits Obviously this
gives more scope for competition
as a shop sited in a particular
portion would not be
a good proposition for any one
who does not happen to live
close to it particularly if it is
a small shop as many are in
S. Kanara and does not give
a fair crop return to the land
who manages the shop and
works after some cultivation as
well. The C is inclined to
think that the notification
of sales is not necessary in
S. Kanara, the A. C. W. Coast
Sect Dn is where one institution
have recently been opened by the C
is requested to get the Insps concerned
to visit the areas regularly notified
which are anywhere near to
the notice of the Coll. from which are wanting to
~~to the Collr with proposals for~~
with proposals for altering better site or for prescribing
~~altering those that are unsuitable~~
wider boundaries such as a village etc

and that it may be possible to effect a similar improvement in the situation by prescribing wider boundaries than those now prescribed in most cases

To,
The A. C. W. Coast
Sect Dn.
S. Kanara

Dy No. 33 dt 7/4/33